arXiv:1108.6149v1 [gr-qc] 31 Aug 2011

Bose-Einstein Condensation on Holographic Screens

Behrouz Mirza[a,b],[*] Hosein Mohammadzadeh[a],[†] and Zahra Raissi[a‡]
[a]Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran
[b]Research Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics of Maragha (RIAAM), Maragha, Iran, P. O. Box: 55134-441

We consider a boson gas on holographic screens of the Rindler and Schwartzschild spacetimes. It is shown that the gas on the stretched horizon is in a Bose-Einstein condensed state with the Hawking temperature $T_c = T_H$ if the particle number of the system be equal to the number of quantum bits of spacetime $N \simeq A/{l_p}^2$. A boson gas on a holographic screen $(r > 2M)$ with the same number of particles and at Unruh temperature is also in a condensed state. Far from the horizon, the Unruh temperature is much lower than the condensation temperature $(T_c = T_{Unruh} + \sqrt{f(r)}T_{Planck})$. This analysis implies a possible physical model for quantum bits of spacetime on a holographic screen. We propose a unique and physical interpretation for equipartition theorem on holographic screens. Also, we will argue that this gas is a fast scrambler.

PACS numbers: 04.70.-s, 05.30.-d, 04.60.-m

Many efforts have been made to find a statistical interpretation for the entropy of black holes. Starting from a theorem proved by Hawking [1, 2], Bekenstein conjectured that the entropy of black holes is proportional to the area of its event horizon , $S_{\rm BH} = A/4{l_p}^2$, where, A is the area of event horizon and l_p is the Planck length [3]. Further evidence for this was given in studies by Hawking [4]. Until 1995, no one was able to make a precise calculation of black hole entropy based on a fundamental theory. The situation changed when A. Strominger and C. Vafa calculated the right Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a supersymmetric black hole in the string theory using a method based on D-branes [5]. Their calculation was followed by many similar computations of entropy of large classes of other extremal and near-extremal black holes. However, these methods cannot be applied to the more general case of nonsupersymmetric neutral black holes. Also, loop quantum gravity has yielded a detailed prescription for identifying microscopic quantum states corresponding to an isolated horizon [6].

Despite strong evidence for Bekenstein's conjecture, the physical nature of quantum mechanically distinct internal states has remained unknown. There is another different perspective for microstates of a Schwarzschild black hole based on earlier ideas of 't Hooft [7], Susskind [8] and some other works [9, 10]. Gerlach tried to interpret the Hawking radiation as one produced by zero-point fluctuations on the surface of a star that collapsed to form black holes. He concluded that the number W_{zp} of zero-point fluctuation modes gives rise to the Hawking radiation of freely evaporating Schwarzschild black holes is satisfied at $\ln W_{zp} \cong 280 S_{\rm BH}$ [11]. Also, according to York's proposal, Hawking radiation is produced by the black hole's quantum ergosphere of thermally excited gravitational quasinormal modes. He concluded that the number of ways W_{qe} this quantum ergosphere can be excited and re-excited, during the evaporation of Schwarzschild hole into a surrounding radiation bath is satisfied at $\ln W_{qe} \cong 1.106 S_{\rm BH}$ [12]. It has been shown that one can consider the number of quantum mechanically distinct ways that the black holes could have been made by infalling quanta particles [9]. The number of ways a Schwarzschild black hole of mass M can be made by accretion of quanta from infinity $(r \gg 2M)$ will be a precise statistical explanation of W.

A great deal of effort has gone into resolving the puzzles of black hole thermodynamics and information loss. In this context, the idea of a stretched horizon arose as a useful tool for thinking about black holes. It originated as a classical description of black holes seen by an outside observers, but the concept was later borrowed to help give a consistent quantum mechanical interpretation of black hole physics [13, 14]. It was also shown that the degrees of freedom of a stretched horizon can be viewed as a gas of quasiparticles. This simple picture makes manifest several universal properties of horizons, including the universal relationship between entropy and horizon area [15]. Although a lot of research work has been focused on explaining the physics of horizon, our knowledge of holographic screens and their thermodynamics is still far from perfect. In this paper, we introduce a new perspective for investigating the condensation of an ideal boson gas in the background of Rindler and Schwartzschild spacetimes. For earlier studies on condensation on a curved spacetime see Ref. [16]. This analysis could be considered as a probe into the quantum structure of spacetime. Our calculations indicates that the equipartition theorem on a holographic screen should run as follows,

$$U_u \simeq N_e k_B T_u \tag{1}$$

where, U_u and T_u denote the internal energy and the Unruh temperature on a holographic screen, respectively. $N_e = N_u(T_u/T_c)^2$ is the number of excited quantum states of spacetime where, T_c and $N_u \simeq A/l_p^2$ are the local condensation temperature and number of quantum bits of spacetime (particle number), respectively.

First, we will evaluate the density of states in the background of a curved spacetime. We consider a system with N non-interacting particles described by a Hamiltonian $H(p_i, q_i)$. The system is confined to a specified volume and has an energy E. Therefore, $H(p_i, q_i) = E$ designates the phase space points located anywhere on the constant energy surface. We can start from the following quantities:

---

[*] Electronic address: b.mirza@cc.iut.ac.ir
[†] Electronic address: h.mohammadzadeh@ph.iut.ac.ir
[‡] Electronic address: z.raissi@ph.iut.ac.ir

$$\Gamma(E) = \int dpdq\, \Theta(E - H(p,q)), \tag{2}$$

where, $\Theta(x - x_0)$ is the Heaviside step function and the density of the state is $g(E) = d\Gamma(E)/dE$. Now, for computing the volume of the phase space below an energy E in the curved spacetime, we have to use a covariant definition of energy. Such a definition of phase space volume in any static spacetime is given by [17, 18]

$$\Gamma(E) = \int d^d x\, d^d p\, \Theta(E - \xi^{\alpha} p_{\alpha}), \tag{3}$$

where, p^a is the four-momentum of the particle and $\xi^a \equiv (1, \mathbf{0})$ is the killing vector of the static spacetime. We construct the density of states for a two dimensional box in a static spacetime. By using $p^a p_a = m^2$, one can write

$$\xi^{\alpha} p_{\alpha} = g_{00} p^0 = g_{00}^{1/2} (m^2 + \gamma^{\alpha\beta} p_{\alpha} p_{\beta})^{1/2}. \tag{4}$$

where, g_{00} and $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are the metric elements of spacetime and generally the line element is $ds^2 = g_{00} dt^2 - \gamma_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta}$. For a Rindler spacetime, the line element is $ds^2 = (1 + \kappa x)^2 dt^2 - dx^2 - dx_{\perp}^2$, where κ is the surface gravity and Rindler observer perceives a horizon at $x = -1/\kappa$. Due to the quantum uncertainty about the position of any object, one cannot differentiate between an object that is Planck length distant from the horizon or one that has crossed the horizon. Therefore, we assume that the box reaches $x = -\kappa^{-1} + l_p$. For a two dimensional box fixed near the horizon, by using Eq. (4) one can evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(E) &= 4\pi \int \sqrt{\gamma} d^2 x_{\perp} \left(\frac{E^2}{\kappa^2 {l_p}^2} - m^2\right) \\ &= 4\pi A_{\perp} \left(\frac{E^2}{\kappa^2 {l_p}^2} - m^2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Thus, the density of states is

$$g(E) = d\Gamma(E)/dE = 8\pi A_{\perp} E / \kappa^2 {l_p}^2, \tag{6}$$

where, $A_{\perp}$ is the area of the box. We can work out the particle number using the derived density of states and the bosons mean occupation number,

$$N - N_0 = \frac{8\pi A_{\perp}}{\kappa^2 {l_p}^2} \int \frac{EdE}{\frac{1}{z} e^{\beta E} - 1} = \frac{8\pi A_{\perp}}{\kappa^2 {l_p}^2} (k_B T)^2 Li_2(z), \tag{7}$$

where, $Li_n(x)$ denotes the polylogarithm functions and $z = \exp(\beta\mu)$ is the fugacity of the boson gas. As we know, Bose-Einstein condensation occurs at $z = 1$, and one can find the phase transition temperature at the constant particle density [19],

$${T_c}^2 = \frac{N \kappa^2 {l_p}^2}{8\pi A_{\perp} {k_B}^2 \zeta(2)}, \tag{8}$$

where, $\zeta(x)$ is the Reimann zeta function. Hawking radiation temperature is $\beta_H = 2\pi/\kappa$, and one can, therefore, find a relationship such as that in (9) below to hold between phase transition temperature and the temperature of the horizon,

$${T_c}^2 = {T_H}^2 \frac{\pi}{2\zeta(2)} N \frac{{l_p}^2}{A_{\perp}}. \tag{9}$$

Now, a surprising result occurs when the particle number is equal to the number of quantum bits of spacetime on the stretched horizon: $N = (\frac{2\zeta(2)}{\pi})(A_{\perp}/{l_p}^2) \simeq 1.04(A_{\perp}/{l_p}^2)$. In this case, the condensation temperature T_c is equal to the Hawking temperature T_H,

$$T_c = T_H. \tag{10}$$

To gain more information about the quantum structure of spacetime, the ideal boson gas may be viewed as a probe. Therefore, we expect to find some thermodynamic quantities of the holographic screens by imposing $N \simeq A_{\perp}/{l_p}^2$. It should be interesting to investigate weak or strong interactions between particles of the gas or to use some other probes such as an ideal gas with fractional statistics. We will discuss these elsewhere. In the following, we will consider the Schwarzschild spacetime with the following metric,

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2. \tag{11}$$

Obviously, the surface of constant energy is characterized by $\gamma^{\alpha\beta} p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} = E^2/g_{00} - m^2$. Now, consider a two dimensional spherical box at a fixed radius, which contains non-interacting bosons in the ultra-relativistic limit where the rest mass of particles is negligible. We derive some thermodynamic quantities at stretched horizon ($r_0 = 2M + h$). We will choose h such that the proper length from the horizon is equal to the Planck length. Therefore, we will fix h to be

$$l_p = \int_{2M}^{2M+h} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r}}} \approx 2\sqrt{2hM} \tag{12}$$

We evaluate $\Gamma(E)$ using Eq. (3) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(E) &= \int d^2 x d^2 p\, \Theta\left(E - \sqrt{g_{00}(m^2 + p^2)}\right) \\ &= 4\pi \int \sqrt{\gamma} d^2 x \left[\frac{E^2}{g_{00}} - m^2\right] \\ &= 4\pi\Omega r_0^2 \left[\frac{E^2}{1 - \frac{2M}{r_0}} - m^2\right] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and therefore, the density of states will be $g(E) = 8\pi\Omega E r_0^2/(1 - \frac{2M}{r_0})$, where, Ω denotes the solid angle and r_0 is the radius wherein the box is located. The particle number can be evaluates as follows

$$N - N_0 = 8\pi\Omega (k_B T)^2 \frac{r_0^2}{1 - \frac{2M}{r_0}} Li_2(z), \tag{14}$$

In this case, the phase transition temperature will be

$$T_c{}^2 = \frac{N}{8\pi\Omega k_B{}^2\zeta(2)} \frac{1-\frac{2M}{r_0}}{r_0^2}. \qquad (15)$$

If the box is located at near the horizon ($h \ll 2M$), we approximate $[1-(2M/r_0)] \approx h/(2M)$, and using Eq. (12), we will have

$$T_c{}^2 = \frac{N}{8\pi\Omega k_B{}^2\zeta(2)} \frac{l_p{}^2}{8M(2M)^3}. \qquad (16)$$

We notice that Hawking radiation temperature is given by the surface gravity, $\kappa = g'_{00}/2 = 1/2M$, and $A_\perp = \int\sqrt{\gamma}d^2x = \Omega r_0^2$. Finally, one can work out a relation between BEC temperature on the stretched horizon and the Hawking radiation temperature of the black hole. As is expected, we obtain Eq. (9) again. For any spherically symmetric static spacetime with the metric

$$ds^2 = f(r)dt^2 - \frac{1}{f(r)}dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2, \qquad (17)$$

the horizon ($r = r_H$) is defined by $f(r_H) = 0$ and $f'(r_H) = 2\kappa$. It is straightforward to calculate the density of states $g(E) = 8\pi E A_\perp/f(r)$ and the phase transition temperature will be obtained as in the preceding evaluation . We note that at locations near the horizon, the metric element can be approximated by $g_{00} = f(r) \approx (r - r_H)f'(r_H)$ and we use the proper length l_p from the horizon instead of h by using

$$l_p = \int_{r_H}^{r_H+h} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{f}} \approx 2\sqrt{h/f'(r_H)}.$$

Also, a similar relation will hold between temperature of the phase transition and the Hawking temperature as in Eq. (9). We can also evaluate the relation between the phase transition temperature of the ideal two dimensional boson gas located at an arbitrary distance from the horizon and a temperature equal to the Unruh temperature of the holographic screen. Straightforward calculation leads to the following new result,

$$T_c = T_u + \sqrt{f(r)}T_p. \qquad (18)$$

where, $T_u = |f'(r)|/4\pi$ denotes the Unruh temperature [20] and $T_p = 1/2\pi k_B l_p$ is the Planck temperature. It is evident that the above equation reduces to (10) on the horizon. In other words, the condensation temperature on the holographic screen is greater than the Unruh temperature and, therefore, the quantum bits on the screen are in a condensed state.

We claim that this theory could be considered as a model for the quantum bits of spacetime on a holographic screen.

One can evaluate the internal energy and entropy of the screen at the stretched horizon in the condensate state. Using the evaluated density of state and the well-known thermodynamic relations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_H &= \int \frac{g(E)EdE}{\frac{1}{z}e^{\beta E}-1} = \frac{2}{3}\gamma_0(\frac{A_\perp}{l_p{}^2})k_B T_H \simeq N_H k_B T_c \\ S_H &= \frac{1}{T}(U-F) = \gamma N_H k_B \simeq k_B \frac{A_\perp}{l_p{}^2} \qquad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where, F is Helmholtz free energy and $\gamma_0 = \frac{6\zeta(3)}{\pi}, \gamma = \frac{3\zeta(3)}{\zeta(2)}$, and it is evident that $U = \frac{2}{3}ST_H$. Therefore, the internal energy of the gas on the stretched horizon will be proportional to the mass of the black hole ($M \sim U \sim N_H k_B T_H$). Similar calculation for an arbitrary holographic screen yields,

$$\begin{aligned} U_u &= \frac{2}{3}\gamma_0(\frac{A_\perp}{l_p{}^2})k_B T_u(\frac{T_u}{T_u+\sqrt{f(r)}T_p})^2 \simeq N_u k_B T_u(\frac{T_u}{T_c})^2 \\ S_u &= \gamma N_u k_B(\frac{T_u}{T_u+\sqrt{f(r)}T_p})^2 \simeq k_B\frac{A_\perp}{l_p{}^2}(\frac{T_u}{T_c})^2. \qquad (20) \end{aligned}$$

In the above equation $N_u k_B T_u = N_H k_B T_H \sim Mc^2$ is a constant. We propose that (20) is a valid result for quantum bits of spacetime on the holographic screen. The new equipartition theorem for an arbitrary holographic screen which is defined in (20) leads to the following first law of thermodynamics.

$$dU = TdS - PdV + \mu dN \qquad (21)$$

where, $P \sim T_u(T_u/T_c)^2$ and $N \sim A_\perp/l_p{}^2$. The presence of the last term is due to the fact that the number of quantum bits on two neighboring holographic screens are different, although for a single holographic screen $\mu = 0$. It is simple to show that a part of dU cancels out both TdS and PdV terms exactly. Another part of dU is equal to μdN. We propose a new physical energy interpretation for the quantum bits of spacetime on the holographic screen, namely $U_u = N_u k_B T_u(\frac{T_u}{T_c})^2 = N_e k_B T_u = M(\frac{T_u}{T_c})^2$. This physical energy on the holographic screen is too small to be detected at this time. This analysis leads to the following proposal:

On an arbitrary holographic screen the number of quantum bits of spacetime in the ground $\{N_0\}$ *and excited* $\{N_e = N_u - N_0\}$ *states are related by:* $N_0 = N_u(1-(\frac{T_u}{T_u+\sqrt{f(r)}T_p})^2)$.

This means that all quantum bits of an asymptotic Minkowski spacetime ($T_u = 0$) are in the ground state. *It should be noted that the definition of* U_u *in* (20) *does not change the Newton's inverse square law if we use the entropic interpretation of the gravitational force* [21]. Another interesting result is that the horizon is a boundary where all quantum bits of spacetime are going to be in the excited state. calculation of μ from (21) yields:

$$\mu \sim r(\frac{T_u}{T_c})^2[\frac{d^2f}{dr^2}(1-\sqrt{f}\{\frac{T_p}{T_c}\}) + \frac{8\pi^2k_B^2}{\sqrt{f}}(\frac{T_p}{T_c})T_u^2] \qquad (22)$$

For $r >> 2M$, $\mu \sim (1/r^7)$ is extremely small and tends to zero asymptotically. It is simple to interpret this behavior for the asymptotically flat region where most quantum bits of spacetime are in the ground state and little energy is needed to add a quantum bit to the excited states on the holographic screen. On the other hand, on the horizon, μ goes toward large numbers, which is also expected. All excited states are full on the horizon and a large amount of energy is needed to add a quantum bit to the holographic screen. It seems that for a holographic screen inside a black hole quantum bits of spacetime should start filling quantum states with an energy gap from the ground state. Based on this interpretation, the singularity is not a physical point as the lowest possible energy for a quantum bit of spacetime goes to infinity at that point. We will investigate this interpretation more completely elsewhere. It is simple to show that the time scale for a particle to diffuse over the entire physical system with temperature T satisfies $tT \sim N^{2/d} l_0 \bar{p}$, where N is the number of particles, $\bar{p}$ is the average momentum of a particle in thermal equilibrium and l_0 is length scale of the system, which for a dense gas can be the separation of the molecules or their size. In the case of strongly correlated quantum systems, the diffusion and scrambling times are of the same order. If the total entropy of the gas is in the order of the number of molecules, than the scrambling time is $t_* T = S^{2/d}\hbar$, where d is the dimension of the system. One might think that in the real world $t_* T$ never grows more slowly than $S^{2/3}$ [22]. However, assuming that the Stefan-Boltzmann law gives the rate at which the stretched horizon emits energy in the outgoing Hawking radiation ($\frac{dU}{dt} \sim \frac{A_\perp}{{l_p}^2} {T_H}^2$), where t is the Schwarzschild time coordinate, we will, therefore, have,

$$\frac{dS}{dt} \simeq T_H \frac{A_\perp}{{l_p}^2} \sim T_H S \tag{23}$$

Now, one can obtain a consistent scrambling time $t_* \sim \beta \ln S$, where β is the inverse Hawking temperature. It is remarkable that for a black hole, the scrambling time satisfies $t_* T \sim \hbar \ln S$.

One can obtain the entropy of the holographic screen at stretched horizon by counting the likely microstate. We can assume that the system has $G = A_\perp / l_p^2$ states to be occupied and N quantum bits of spacetime have to be distributed among these states. Also, we notice that at the condensate state $N = A_\perp / l_p^2 = G$. Therefore, the number of distinct ways in which the N identical and indistinguishable particles (quantum bits of spacetime) can be distributed among the G excited states in the thermodynamic limit will be

$$W = \frac{(G+N-1)!}{N!(G-1)!} \simeq \frac{(2N)!}{(N!)^2}$$

and, therefor, the entropy can be worked out as a function of N or equivalently $A_\perp / {l_p}^2$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S &= k_B \ln(W) \simeq aN + b\ln(N) + c\frac{1}{N} + d\frac{1}{N^3} + \cdots \\ &\simeq a\frac{A_\perp}{{l_p}^2} + b\ln(\frac{A_\perp}{{l_p}^2}) + c\frac{{l_p}^2}{A_\perp} + d(\frac{{l_p}^2}{A_\perp})^3 + \cdots , \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where, $a, b, \ldots$ are constants. For an arbitrary holographic screen, the system has $G = N_u - N_0 = N_u (T_u/T_c)^2 = N_e$ excited states and the effective number of particles (number of excited quantum bits of spacetime) is equal to $N_u (T_u/T_c)^2$ and so entropy is proportional to (20). In a Minkowski space where all quantum bits of spacetime are in the ground state, the entropy is zero. In this way, we may obtain the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy as well as its corrections which are somewhat universal in theories of quantum gravity such as string theory and loop quantum gravity. We may consider this boson gas as an effective coarse grained theory for quantum structure of spacetime.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank R. Casadio for useful comments. This work has been supported financially by Research Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics of Maragha (RIAAM) under research project No. 1/2359.

---

[1] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26,** 1344 (1971).
[2] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **31,** 161 (1973).
[3] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **7,** 2333 (1973).
[4] S. W. Hawking, Nature **248,** 5443 (1974); S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43,** 199 (1975) S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **14,** 2460 (1976).
[5] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. B **379,** 99 (1996).
[6] C. Rovelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77,** 3288 (1996); A. Ashtekar, A. Corichi and K. Krasnov, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3,** 419 (2000); A. Ashtekar, J. Baez and K. Krasnov, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4,** 1 (2000).
[7] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B **256,** 727 (1985).
[8] L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D **49,** 6606 (1994); Phys. Rev. Lett. **71,** 2367 (1993).
[9] W. H. Zurek and K. S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54,** 2171 (1985).
[10] L. Bombelli, R. Koul, J. Lee and R. Sorkin, Phys. Rev. D **34,** 373 (1986); M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71,** 666 (1993); C. Callan and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. B **333,** 55 (1994).
[11] U. H. Gerlach, Phys. Rev. D **14,** 1479 (1976).
[12] J. W. York, Phys. Rev. D **28,** 2929 (1983).
[13] K.S. Thorne, R.H. Price, and D.A. Macdonald, Black Holes: The Membrane Paradigm, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, (1986).
[14] L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius, and J. Uglum, Phys. Rev. D **48,** 3743 (1993).
[15] N. Iizuka, D. Kabat, G. Lifschytz and D. A. Lowe, Phys. Rev. D **68,** 084021 (2003).
[16] S. Singh, and R. K. Pathria, J. Phys. A **17,** 2983 (1984); L. Parker, and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **44,** 2421 (1991); D. J. Toms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69,** 1152 (1992); D. J. Toms, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 2483 (1993).
[17] S. Kolekar and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 064034 (2011), arXiv:1012.5421
[18] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Lett. A **136,** 203 (1989).
[19] R. K. Pathria, *Statistical Mechanics*, Elseier, (2005).
[20] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, The University of Chicago Press (1984). L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi, G. E. A. Matsas, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80:787-838, 2008.
[21] E. Verlinde, JHEP 1104, 029 (2011) [arXiv:1001.0785 [hep-th]].
[22] Y. Sekino and L. Susskind, JHEP **0810,** 065 (2008).